Systems and Real-time Programming in Ada 95

Eugene Bingue, Ph.D. Speakers Dr.Bingue@ix.netcom.com

David A. Cook, Ph.D. USAF STSC/Shim Inc. David.Cook@hill.af.mil

Leslie (Les) Dupaix USAF STSC Les.Dupaix@hill.af.mil

Presented by the ASEET Team

David A. Cook, Ph.D. Shim Enterprises, Inc. email: david.cook@hill.af.mil

Eugene Bingue Nova Southeastern University email: bingue@ix.netcom.com Leslie Dupaix Software Technology Support Center email: les.dupaix@hill.af.mil

Ternarional Language of Software

Education and Training

Tasking/Realtime - 3

Teal T



Parallel Processing (Tasking)

The Ada parallel processing model is a useful model for the abstract description of many parallel processing problems. In addition, a more static monitor-like approach is available for shared data-access applications.

Ada provides support for single and multiple processor parallel processing, and also includes support for time-critical real-time and distributed applications.

What a Task is

CUSTOMS

Concurrently Executing Program Unit

One processor (single thread of control) Multi-programming (multiple threads) Multi-processing (multiple threads) Distributed Environment (sterile) Distributed Environment

• Always a *Slave*

Must have a master Sometimes *abortable* Can be *aborted* by **ANYBODY** (who has visibility) <u>Since a task must have a master, it can</u> never be a library unit

• What makes the master important?

The master may not terminate until all "children" are finished Library packages acting as a master may have "rogue" tasks

Simple Task Syntax

task [type] task_simple_name [is
 {entry declaration}
 {representation clause}
end [task_simple_name]];

task body task_simple_name is
 [declarative part]
begin

sequence_of_statements
[exception
 exception handler]
end [task_simple_name] ;

Examples - Single Task

task EAT_UP_RESOURCES

task body EAT_UP_RESOURCES is begin loop null; end loop; end EAT_UP_RESOURCES;

Only 1 task, and it's name is EAT_UP_RESOURCES!!!

Examples - Task Types

task type EAT_UP_RESOURCES ;

task body EAT_UP_RESOURCES is
 begin
 loop
 null;
 end loop;
end EAT_UP_RESOURCES;

type EATER is access EAT_UP_RESOURCES; EAT_UP_1 : EATER; EAT_UP_A_LOT : array (1..10) of EATER;

There are 11 tasks defined above!

When does a task start?

 After the elaboration of the declarative part that each task is declared in. Basically, after the "begin" statement, but before any other executable statement

 Allows TASKING_ERROR to be raised in the "master" in case of problems in the elaboration of a task

NOTE - This is the ONLY time that a task will raise an asynchronous exception in the master. There may be only **1 TASKING_ERROR** per master per declarative region

Simplest tasks have no communication with other program units

Task EAT_UP_RESOURCES;

task body EAT_UP_RESOURCES is
 begin
 loop
 Do_some_thing;
 exit when Good_and_ready;
 end loop;
end EAT_UP_RESOURCES;

Rendezvous

 If another program unit calls a task, and the task *accepts* the call, then the two units (the caller and the callee) are said to be in *rendezvous*

 During rendezvous, the caller is suspended or blocked, and the callee (the task unit) is active

Problem - how to synchronize two objects?

Solution

Have an Ada task that synchronizes with a calling unit.

– Scenario

- Program unit calls a task, saying "let me know when you are ready to synchronize"
- Ada task "accepts" the synchronize call, and executes an optional Sequence Of Statements (SOS). The caller and task are now synchronized

Synchronization Calls

task DO_SOMETHING is entry SYNC_POINT; end DO_SOMETHING;

task body DO_SOMETHING is begin loop accept SYNC_POINT do <SOS #1> end SYNC_POINT; <SOS #2> end loop; end DO_SOMETHING;

The "accept" synchronizes the caller and server, during SOS #1 and prepares the task to execute SOS #2.

SOS #1 occurs during rendezvous, and the caller is "blocked" while the receiver (server) executes the statements. SOS #1 should be only as long as absolutely necessary. SOS #1 may be null.

SOS #2 occurs after rendezvous, and multiple threads of control exist. Both the caller and server are executing in parallel.

Simple "sync" call

task DO_SOMETHING is entry SYNC_POINT; end DO_SOMETHING;

task body DO_SOMETHING is begin loop accept SYNC_POINT; <SOS #2> end loop; end DO_SOMETHING;

There is no action associated with the synchronize call, so there is no *"do end;"* associated with entry point *SYNC_POINT.*

As soon as the task Do_Something accepts the call, the synchronization ends, and both the caller and callee proceed after the synchronization.

How long does a task "wait"?

 The easiest option to program is the "wait forever" model.

In this model, a task is willing to wait for a call until some other program unit calls it. Although a parallel thread of control, the task is inactive, waiting for another program unit to call it and reactivate it

When we say "call"....

 We don't mean *call* in the sense of calling a procedure or function. The task is already an active entity, occupying stack, memory, and machine cycles.

 Calling a task refers to an attempt to rendezvous

Wait forever?

 An "ENTRY POINT" defines a point to rendezvous (synchronization or exchange data point) with a task.
 You can NEVER call a task, only rendezvous with it at an entry point. An entry point is like a "phone number" to the task.

> task DO_SOMETHING is entry SYNC_POINT; end DO_SOMETHING;

task body DO_SOMETHING is begin loop accept SYNC_POINT; <SOS> --Sequence Of Statements end loop; end DO_SOMETHING;

Multiple Accept Statements

There is nothing "sacred" about "accept" statements.
There may be multiple accepts per entry point

task type DO_SOMETHING_ELSE is entry SYNC_POINT; end DO_SOMETHING_ELSE

task body DO_SOMETHING_ELSE is begin loop accept SYNC_POINT do <SOS #1> end SYNC_POINT;

> <SOS #2> accept SYNC_POINT;

end loop; end DO_SOMETHING_ELSE;

Entry Call Parameters

An entry point may define parameters (like a procedure or function definition)

task type DO_LITTLE is entry GET_DATA (PARAM1 : in SOME_TYPE); entry PUT_DATA (PARAM2 : out SOME_TYPE); end DO_LITTLE;

TASK_DO_LITTLE : DO_LITTLE;

task body DO_LITTLE is HOLDER : SOME_TYPE; begin loop accept GET_DATA (PARAM1: in SOME_TYPE) do HOLDER := PARAM1; end GET_DATA;

accept PUT_DATA (PARAM2 : out SOME_TYPE) do PARAM2 := HOLDER; end PUT_DATA; end loop; end DO_LITTLE;

What the Previous Example Does

- Enforces "server-client" relationship for a "critical" data item.
- Requires a "new" item to be created before it can be "consumed"
- Requires the current item to be "consumed" before a new item can be created.
- Will allow multiple producers/consumers to interact by using the task as a "middleman"

Receiving the data

task body DO_LITTLE is HOLDER : SOME_TYPE; begin loop accept GET_DATA (PARAM1: in SOME_TYPE) do HOLDER := PARAM1; end GET_DATA; --the above lines accept data from some calling unit accept PUT_DATA (PARAM2 : out SOME_TYPE) do PARAM2 := HOLDER; end PUT_DATA; end loop; end DO_LITTLE;

Storing the Data

task body DO_LITTLE is HOLDER : SOME_TYPE;

begin

loop

accept GET_DATA (PARAM1: in SOME_TYPE) do HOLDER := PARAM1; end GET_DATA;

--Maybe some code to put the data into a stack, queue, buffer, etc

accept PUT_DATA (PARAM2 : out SOME_TYPE) do
 PARAM2 := HOLDER;
 end PUT_DATA;
 end loop;
end DO_LITTLE;

Forwarding the Data

task body DO_LITTLE is HOLDER : SOME_TYPE;

begin

loop

accept GET_DATA (PARAM1: in SOME_TYPE) do HOLDER := PARAM1; end GET_DATA;

accept PUT_DATA (PARAM2 : out SOME_TYPE) do PARAM2 := HOLDER; end PUT_DATA; --pass on some data, perhaps from a buffer

end loop; end DO_LITTLE;

Implicit Queues for Entry Points

♦ Queues

- By definition of accept statement, only 1 caller may be in rendezvous per task.
- This means that calls for task entries are neither reentrant or recursive
- There is a queue associated with each entry point. All callers to this entry stand in an ordered line.

Use "Wait Until I get Done" with Great Care!

- Could be replaced with a simple procedure/function call except in special Cases!
- Use entry points to pass data "one way"

<u>NOT</u>

task type DO_PROCESSING is entry DO_WORK (DATA : in out SOME_TYPE); end DO_PROCESSING;

WORKER : DO_PROCESSING;

task body DO_PROCESSING is begin loop accept DO_WORK (DATA : in out SOME_TYPE) do <LSOS> -- some long, involved processing here end DO_WORK; end loop; end DO_PROCESSING;

When You Need to Send and Receive Data From a Task

task DO_PROCESSING is entry GET_DATA (DATA : in SOME_TYPE); entry PUT_DATA (DATA : out SOME_TYPE); end DO_PROCESSING;

task body DO_PROCESSING is HOLDER : SOME_TYPE; begin loop

accept GET_DATA(DATA: in SOME_TYPE) do HOLDER := DATA; end GET_DATA;

<LSOS> -- some long, involved processing here

accept PUT_DATA(DATA: out SOME_TYPE) do DATA := HOLDER; end PUT_DATA;

end loop; end DO_PROCESSING;

Exiting or Quitting a Task Task "quits" under task control

task type DO_PROCESSING is entry GET_DATA (DATA : in SOME_TYPE); entry PUT_DATA (DATA : out SOME_TYPE); end DO_PROCESSING;

WORKER : DO_PROCESSING;

task body DO_PROCESSING is HOLDER : SOME_TYPE; begin loop

> accept GET_DATA(DATA: in SOME_TYPE) do HOLDER := DATA; end GET_DATA;

-- some long processing here

accept PUT_DATA(DATA: out SOME_TYPE) do DATA := HOLDER; end PUT_DATA;

exit when <some condition>;

end loop; end DO_PROCESSING;

Multiple Callers - the Select

Task TASK2 is entry ENTRY1; entry ENTRY2; end TAŠK2: Task body TASK2 is begin loop **select** --Waits for a call of ENTRY1 or ENTRY2 accept ENTRY1 [do <SOS> end ENTRY1]; <SOS> or accept ENTRY2 [do <ŜOS> end ENTRY2]; <SOS> end select; end loop; end TASK2:

The Select Concerns

The order of selection is not defined by the language!!!

- It may be arbitrary, fair, consistent, inconsistent or predefined!!!
- Any program that makes assumptions about the order of the selection of the open alternatives should be considered "erroneous"!!!

The Select (cont.)

 Each accept statement in a "select" is called an ALTERNATIVE

- Each alternative is allowed to have an optional "guard" of the form when <Boolean condition> => accept ...
- If the guard is true, then the alternative is "open" and the corresponding "accept" is considered
- If the guard is false, the alternative is called "closed", and not a possible alternative
- If all alternatives are closed, a PROGRAM_ERROR is raised!!
- In any "Wait case", an alternative is evaluated only once per select!!

Quitting Under Caller Control

task type DO_PROCESSING is entry GET_DATA (DATA : in SOME_TYPE); entry PUT_DATA (DATA : out SOME_TYPE); entry SHUTDOWN; end DO_PROCESSING;

WORKER : DO_PROCESSING;

task body DO_PROCESSING is HOLDER : SOME_TYPE; begin loop select accept GET_DATA(DATA: in SOME_TYPE) do HOLDER := DATA; end GET_DATA; or accept PUT_DATA(DATA: out SOME_TYPE) do DATA := HOLDER; end PUT_DATA; or accept SHUTDOWN; --sync call only exit: end select: end loop; end DO_PROCESSING; --Question: What if callers still in queue?

Finite Wait - the Delay

- This is the WAIT FOR A FINITE AMOUNT OF TIME option
- The syntax is

or

delay <fixed-point DURATION>; [<SOS>]

- The duration is expressed in seconds (X.X)
- Since the delay may be dynamic (an expression), a negative value may be used (treated as 0)
- Multiple delays are allowed (the shortest one "wins")
- the delay statement may also have a guard
- After a time equal to the delay, no other open alternatives will be allowed
- After a time >= the delay, the optional <SOS> after the delay is executed, and the select terminates

Dave's Fast Food

```
task FAST FOOD is
entry WALK_IN;
entry DRIVE_UP;
end FAST_FOOD;
task body FAST_FOOD is
  begin
   loop
     select
        when WALK_IN_HOURS => accept WALK_IN do
        end WALK_IN;
     or
        when DRIVE_UP_HOURS => accept DRIVE_UP do
        end DRIVE_UP;
     or
        delay 60.0; --if no customers after 1 minute, clean up CLEAN_UP_TABLES;
     end select;
end loop;
end FAST_FOOD;
```

Passive Quitting - Terminate

select accept ... or accept ... or or terminate; end select;

- This says "If I have no callers in line, and my master is waiting to quit, and all of my children are ready to quit, then I may now terminate"
- This option is mutually exclusive with the *delay* Thus, you can only use the *terminate* option with a *wait forever* in a select



Close the burger joint loop select when WALK_IN_HOURS => accept WALK_IN do end WALK_IN; or when DRIVE_UP_HOURS => accept DRIVE_UP do end DRIVE_UP; or terminate; end select; end loop; end FAST FOOD;
Don't Wait at All - the Else

This option is mutually exclusive with both the *delay* and the *terminate* alternative



 If there is NOBODY in queue, then perform the sequence of statements

- This option must be used carefully. Depending upon the type of wait the caller will take, it can cause huge overhead and prevent "real" work from getting done!
- If a caller is using the "don't wait" option also, what are the odds of achieving a rendezvous??

Never Code a Busy Wait

loop select accept SOME_ENTRY_CALL do end SOME_ENTRY_CALL; else null; end select; end loop;

A "busy wait" consumes resources, and can easily lock-up up a non-time-slicing system!

Specifically, single processor systems are very sensitive to this.

Calling Task Entries

- As we have seen, there are three ways to "receive" an entry call
 - 1. Wait forever
 - 2. Wait for a determinate time
 - 3. Don't wait at all
- There are three corresponding ways to "call" an entry point

NOTE: inside a task, you don't know who was "placing" the call. However, to call an entry, you MUST specify both the task name and the entry point.



Wait Forever Entry Call

 Much like a procedure call. You simply specify the TASK_NAME.ENTRY_NAME;

<pre>Some_Task.Some_Entry(Some_Parameters);</pre>	
••••	

Once this type of "call" is placed, you have ABSOLUTELY NO CONTROL over how long you wait. Also, you can't even determine how many people are in line ahead of you!!



Timed Entry Call

This allows you to wait for a maximum time in queue, then "jump out of the queue".

select	
	TASK_NAME.ENTRY_NAME (optional_data);
	<optional sos=""></optional>
TO	-
	delay 60.0;
	<optional sos="">;</optional>
enc	l select;

The select statement is used for BOTH the "selective waits" in receiving an entry call in the task, and for placing calls to a task entry. This orthogonality is very confusing to beginning Ada code readers.

Only One Task at a Time





Don't Wait at All Entry Call

select

TASK_NAME.ENTRY_NAME; <optional SOS>

else

<**SOS**>

end select;

NEVER use this type of call if there is ANY chance that the task you are calling is also using the "else" option. (translation - don't use this option except in very special circumstances.) Let's look at some code! Time for the "Aggie Burger" examples

 In these examples, we look at various options for rendezvous and calling

 There is a main program that contains a task called Aggie Burger, and also a procedure called consume

procedure MAIN is type FOOD_TYPE is MY_TRAY : FOOD_TYPE; task AGGIE BURGER is entry SERVE (TRAY : out FOOD_TYPE); end AGGIE BURGER; task body AGGIE_BURGER is separate; procedure CONSUME (MY_TRAY : in FOOD TYPE) is separate; begin end MAIN;

The task AGGIE_BURGER provides a service (resource). It is a producer.

separate (MAIN) task body AGGIE_BURGER is

THE_FOOD : FOOD_TYPE;

function COOK return FOOD_TYPE is

end COOK;

begin

.. -- We are going to fill in the task body later .. end;

For now, let us assume that the body of MAIN always looks like the following:

begin loop

> AGGIE_BURGER.SERVE(MY_TRAY); CONSUME (MY_TRAY);

delay (SOME_VALUE); end loop end MAIN;

• •

Callee scenario #1

```
separate (MAIN)
task body AGGIE BURGER is
 THE_FOOD : FOOD_TYPE;
 function COOK return FOOD_TYPE is
 end COOK;
begin
loop
 THE_FOOD := COOK; --cook the food
accept SERVE(TRAY : out FOOD_TYPE) do
       TRAY := THE FOOD;
 end SERVE;
end loop;
end AGGIE_BURGER;
--Question - how fresh is the food? How do we quit?
```

Callee scenario #2 begin loop THE FOOD := COOK; select accept SERVE(TRAY : out FOOD_TYPE) do TRAY := THE_FOOD; end SERVE; or terminate; end select; end loop; end AGGIE_BURGER; --Question - how fresh is the food? How do we quit?

Callee scenario #3



--Question - how fresh is the food? How do we quit?

```
Callee scenario #4
  begin
  loop
     THE_FOOD := COOK;
     select
           accept SERVE(TRAY : out FOOD_TYPE) do
                 TRAY := THE_FOOD;
           end SERVE;
     or
           delay 15.0 * MINUTES;
           null;
     end select;
  end loop;
end AGGIE_BURGER;
--Question - how fresh is the food? How do we quit?
```

Callee scenario #5

begin loop

THE_FOOD := COOK;

select

accept SERVE(TRAY : out FOOD_TYPE) do
TRAY := THE_FOOD;
end SERVE;

or

delay 15.0 * MINUTES;

or

when not SERVING_HOURS => delay 0.0; exit; --why not terminate??

end select; end loop; end AGGIE_BURGER;

--Question - how fresh is the food? How do we quit?

Caller scenario #1 procedure MAIN is

```
...
...
begin
...
select
AGGIE_BURGER.SERVE(...);
CONSUME(...);
or
ut_burger.SERVE(...);
CONSUME(...);
end select;
```

--This is what you want to do (always get in the shortest line) --Unfortunately, it's illegal!!

Caller scenario #2 procedure MAIN is ••• begin select AGGIE_BURGER.SERVE(..); CONSUME(...); or delay 10.0 * MINUTES; select ut_burger.SERVE(..); --clearly, an inferior and hence, second choice CONSUME(...); or delay 10.0 * MINUTES; EAT_AT_HOME; end select; end select;

Asynchronous Transfer of Control (then abort)

- Allows a sequence of statements to be interrupted and then abandoned upon some event.
- Event is either completion of an entry call, or expiration of a delay.
- Used for a mode change, time bounded computations, user-initiated interruption, etc..

User-initiated Interrupt

loop

select

Terminal.Wait_for_Interrupt;

Put_Line ("Process Interrupted..");

then abort

Put_Line ("-> "); Get_Line (Command, Last); Process_Command (Command (1..Last));

end select; end loop; This process will be abandoned by terminal interrupt

Time Bounded Situation

select -- Time Critical Data Processing delay 5.0; Set_Display_Object_Color (Yellow); Put_Line ("Target lock aborted data too old."); then abort -- Data not received in 5.0 seconds Position_Object; Set_Display_Object_Color (Green); end select;

Mode Change

select -- Mode Change Confirmed_Air_Threat.Were_Gonna_Die; Sound_Tone; Crash_Avoidance; then abort Land_Aircraft; end select;

Requeue Statement

requeue Entry_Name [with abort];

- The *requeue* allows a call to an entry to be placed back in the queue for later processing.
- Without the *with abort* option, the requeued entry is protected against cancellation.



Requeue Statement



protected Event is entry Wait; entry Signal; private entry Reset; Occurred : Boolean := False; end Event: protected body Event is entry Wait when Occurred is begin null: -- note null body end Wait: entry Signal when True is -- barrier is always true begin if Wait'Count > 0 then Occurred := True: requeue Reset; end if: end Signal; entry Reset when Wait'Count = 0 is begin Occurred := False: end Reset: end Event:

Delay and Until Statements

delay Next_Time - Calendar.Now;

-- suspended for at least the duration specified

delay until Next_time;

-- specifies an absolute time rather than a time interval



The *until* <u>does not</u> provide a guaranteed delay interval, but it does prevent inaccuracies due to swapping out between the "delay interval calculation" and the delay statement

Delay Statement

task body Poll_Device is

Poll_Time: Real_Time.Time:= time_to_start_polling;

Period : constant Real_Time.Interval := 10 * Milliseconds;

begin

loop

delay until Poll_Time;

- -- sequence of statements
- -- to
- -- Poll the device

Poll_Time := Poll_Time + Period; end loop; end Poll Device; Poll_Device task polls the device every 10 milliseconds starting at the initial value of Poll_Time. The period will not drift.

Protected Types



Protected types provide a low-level, lightweight synchronization mechanism whose key features are:

Protected types are used to control access to data shared among multiple processes.

Operations of the protected type synchronize access to the data.

Protected types have three kinds of operations: protected functions, protected procedures, and entries.

Protected Units & Protected Objects

- Protected procedures provide mutually exclusive read-write access to the data of a protected object
- Protected functions provide concurrent read-only access to the data.
- Protected entries also provide exclusive read-write access to the data.

Protected entries have a specified barrier (a Boolean expression). This barrier must be true prior to the entry call allowing access to the data.

Protected Types

package Mailbox_Pkg is type Parcels_Count is range 0 .. Mbox_Size; type Parcels_Index is range 1 .. Mbox_Size; type Parcels_Array is array (Parcel_Index) of Parcels protected type Mailbox is -- put a data element into the buffer entry Send (Item : Parcels); -- retrieve a data element from the buffer entry Receive (Item : out Parcels); procedure Clear; function Number_In_Box return Integer; private Count : Parcels_count := 0; Out_Index : Parcels_Index := 1; In_Index: Parcels_Index := 1; Data : Parcels_Array; end Mailbox; end Mailbox_Pkg;

Protected Types Example

package body Mailbox_Pkg is

```
protected body Mailbox is
```

```
entry Send (Item : Parcels) when Count < Mbox_Size is
-- block until room
```

```
begin
   Data ( In_Index ) := Item;
   In_Index := In_Index mod Mbox_size + 1;
   Count := Count + 1;
end Send;
```

```
entry Receive (Item : out Parcels ) when Count > 0 is
-- block until non-empty
```

begin Item := Data(Out_Index); Out_Index := Out_Index mod Mbox_Size + 1; Count := Count -1; and Passive;

```
end Receive;
```

Protected Types Example (cont)

procedure Clear is
begin
 Count := 0;
 Out_Index := 1;
 In_Index := 1;
end Clear;

--only one user in Clear at a time

function Number_In_Box return Integer is -- many users can check # in Box begin

return Count; end Number_In_Box;

end Mailbox;

end Mailbox_Pkg;





Aborting a task

 The "ABORT" statement can not only kill a task, but can have catastrophic effects upon the entire system.

 Any program unit that has "visibility" to a task object can "abort" the task thru the abort statement.

abort TASK_NAME;

Aborting a task

• This causes the task to become "abnormal"

 If the task is "blocked" or "ready", it just becomes complete

• If not, it must become completed prior to any action affecting another task

Aborting a Task

 A task may "complete" in the middle of IO, updating a record, an assignment, etc.

 Any entry in the tasks' queues (or a "client" that was in rendezvous) now have a TASKING_ERROR raised

 A task may kill itself to quickly terminate execution cleanly!!

Aborting a Task

 "An abort statement should be used only in extremely severe situations requiring unconditional termination"

 Any abort statement (other than a task aborting itself) should only be used as a last resort if the task is non-responsive or a "rogue" task!! Steps must be taken to ensure data and file integrity and recovery!!




Task Attributes

Task_Type'Callable;

- is Task in a callable state.- Boolean returned.

Task_Type'Terminated; - - is Task Terminated. - - Boolean returned.

E'Count; - - number of calls waiting in queue on an Entry. - - return Universal_Integer;

T'Identify;

- Yields a value of Task_ID (Annex C)
- Only allowed inside an entry_body or
- accept statement.

Features Required (for low-level, real-time, embedded, and distributed systems)

Systems Programming Annex Annex C Real-Time Annex Annex D

The Real-Time Annex requires the Systems Programming Annex for support

Standard Interfaces



Standard Interfaces

The following packages are *REQUIRED* by the standard:

package Interface.C -- interface to C

•package Interface.COBOL -- interface for COBOL



•package Interface.FORTRAN - interface for FORTRAN



Systems Programming Annex Annex C



Capabilities (Systems Programming)

 Access to Machine Operations (machine dependent) Must have assembler (if available) Memory addressing and offsets must be specified Overhead with inline vs. subprogram calls documented Pragmas for interfacing assembler and Ada must be supplied

•Access to Interrupt Support

pragma Interrupt_Handler (defines parameterless procedures that can be later attached to an interrupt)
pragma Attach_Handler (can be used to specify attachment of parameterless procedure to a specific interrupt at initialization time). This pragma can be replaced by a dynamic procedure call to Attach_Handler that accomplishes the same thing.

Interrupt Package

package Ada.Interrupts is type Interrupt_Id is implementation_defined; type Parameterless_Handler is access protected procedure; function Is_Reserved (Interrupt : Interrupt_Id) return Boolean; function Is_Attached (Interrupt : Interrupt_Id) return Boolean; function Current_Handler (Interrupt :Interrupt_Id) return Parameterless_Handler; procedure Attach_Handler (New_Handler : Parameterless_Handler; Interrupt : Interrupt_Id); procedure Exchange_Handler (Old_Handler : out Parameterless_Handler; New_Handler : Parameterless_Handler; Interrupt _: Interrupt_Id); procedure Detach_Handler (Interrupt : Interrupt_Id) return Address;

private

... -- not specified by the language end Ada.Interrupts;



Interrupt Package - Cont

Marin Marine

package Ada.Interrupts.Names is
implementation_defined : constant Interrupt_Id :=
 implementation_defined;

implementation_defined : constant Interrupt_Id :=
 implementation_defined;

private ... -- not specified by the language end Ada.Interrupts.Names;

Shared Variable Control

Pragma Atomic (applies to objects, components, or types)
Pragma Atomic_Components (applies to arrays)
Pragma Volatile (applies to objects, components, or types)
Pragma Volatile_Components (applies to arrays)

The Atomic pragmas force indivisible read/write operations. The Volatile pragmas force direct read/writes to memory



Task Identification

package Ada.Task_Identification is
 type Task_Id is private;
 Null_Task_Id : constant Task_Id;
 function "=" (Left, Right: Task_Id) return Boolean;
 function Image (T: Task_Id) return String;
 function Current_Task return Task_Id;
 procedure Abort_Task (T : in out Task_Id);

function Is_Terminated(T : Task_ID) return Boolean; function Is_Callable (T : Task_ID) return Boolean; private

- ... -- not specified by the language end Ada.Task_Identification;

Image returns an implementation-defined string that identifies a task.

Current_Task returns a value that identifies the task

Task Attributes

with Ada.Task_Identification; generic type Attribute is private; Initial_Value : Attribute; package Ada.Task_Attributes is type Attribute_Handle is access all Attribute;

function Value (T: Task_Identification.Task_Id := Task_Identification.Current_Task) return Attribute; function Reference

(T : Task_Identification.Task_Id := Task_Identification.Current_Task) return Attribute_Handle; procedure Set Value (Val : Attribute;

T : Task_Identification.Task_Id := Task_Identification.Current_Task); procedure Reinitialize

(T : Task_Identification.Task_Id := Task_Identification.Current_Task);

end Ada.Task_Attributes;

Real-Time Annex

Specifies additional characteristics of Ada implementations intended for real-time systems software.

To conform to this annex, an implementation must also conform to the Systems Programming Annex.

Most of this annex consists of documentation requirements. An implementation must document the values of the annexdefined metrics for at least one hardware/system configuration.

Task and Protected Type Priorities pragma Priority (expression); pragma Interrupt_Priority (optional expression); The range of System.Interrupt_Priority shall include at least one value. The range of System.Priority must have at least 30 values. Interrupt_Priority is defined as being greater than Priority. The following declarations exist in package System subtype Any_Priority is Integer range implementation-defined; subtype Priority is Any_Priority range Any_Priority'first..implementation-defined; **subtype** Interupt_Priority **is** Any_Priority **range** Priority'last+1..Any_Priority'last; Default_Priority : **constant** Priority := (Priority'first + Priority'last) / 2; Default_Interupt_Priority : constant Interupt_Priority := Interupt_Priority'last;

Priority Scheduling

pragma Task_Dispatching_Policy (policy_identifier);

where FIFO_Within_Priorities is the only required policy. Other implementation-dependent policies may be defined

An implementation must document

- the maximum priority inversion a user task can experience
- whether execution of a task can be preempted by the implementation processing of delay expirations for lower priority tasks (and, if so, for how long)



Priority Scheduling

The Ceiling_Locking policy (which specifies interactions between priority task scheduling and protected object ceilings) must be in effect for FIFO_Within_Priorities.

<u> Realtime - 89</u>

pragma Locking_Policy(policy_identifier)

where Ceiling_Locking is a predefined policy. Other policies may be implementation-defined.

Priority Ceiling Locking

An example WITHOUT Ceiling Locking

Three tasks

P of priority 5
Q of priority 3
R of priority 1

Also, there is a protected object (O).

Task R is executing a procedure in O. P later requires access to the same procedure in O, but R must finish first. Q can preempt R.



Priority Ceiling Locking

Solution - Have the protected object O automatically execute at a "ceiling".

Every protected object has a ceiling priority (set by either Priority or Interrupt_Priority pragma).

When a task executes a protected operation, it inherits the ceiling priority of the corresponding protected object.

If the active priority of the task is higher than the ceiling of the protected operation, a Program_Error is raised.

Expiration of Time Delay and Selective Accepts

If two or more selective accepts are present with different priorities, then the highest priority is executed.

If two or more expired delays or selective accepts are present with the same priority, the first in textual order is executed / selected.



Entry Queuing Policies

This specifies how the calls to a single entry point are queued up.

pragma Queuing_Policy (policy_identifier);

where FIFO_Queuing and Priority_Queuing are predefined. Other implementation-defined policies may exist.

> DAY TIMER

> > ıltime - 93

asm

FIFO_Queuing is the default.

Dynamic Priorities

Allows the priority of a task to be modified or queried at run time

with System; with Ada.Task_Identification; -- See G.6.1 package Ada.Dynamic_Priorities is

> procedure Set_Priority(Priority : System.Any_Priority; T : Ada.Task_Identification.Task_Id := Ada.Task_Identification.Current_Task);

private ... -- not specified by the language end Ada.Dynamic_Priorities;

Preemptive Abort

Implementations must document

- Execution time (in processor clock cycles) that it takes for an abort_statement to cause completion
- On multiprocessors, the upper bound (in seconds) on the time that the completion of an aborted task can be delayed beyond the point that is required for a single processor
- An upper bound on the execution time of an asynchronous_select



Tasking Restrictions

The following are restrictions that are language-defined for use with the pragma Restrictions

No_Task_Hierarchy
No_Nested_Finalization
No_Abort_Statement
No_Terminate_Alternatives
No_Task_Allocators
No_Implicit_Heap_Allocation
No_Dynamic_Priorities
No_Asynchronous_Control
Max_Select_Alternatives
Max_Task_Entries
Max_Protected_Entries
Max_Storage_At_Blocking
Max_Tasks





Monotonic Time

This clause specifies a high-resolution, monotonic clock package

package Ada.Real_Time is

type Time is private; Time_First: constant Time; Time_Last: constant Time; Time_Unit: constant := implementation_defined_real_number;

type Time_Span is private; Time_Span_First: constant Time_Span; Time_Span_Last: constant Time_Span; Time_Span_Zero: constant Time_Span; Time_Span_Unit: constant Time_Span;

Tick: constant Time_Span; function Clock return Time;



Monotonic Time Cont.

type Seconds_Count is range implementation-defined;

procedure Split (T : in Time; SC: out Seconds_Count; TS : out Time_Span);

private
... -- not specified by the language
end Ada.Real_Time;



Monotonic Time Limits

The range of Time shall be sufficient to represent real ranges up to 50 years later.

Tick shall be no greater than 1 millisecond.

Time_Unit shall be less than or equal to 20 micro seconds.

Time_Span_First shall be no Greater than -3600 seconds and Time_Span_Last no less than 3600 seconds.

The actual values of Time_First, Time_Last, Time_Span_First, Time_Span_Last , Time_Span_Unit and Tick shall be documented.



An implementation shall document the following

•An upper bound on the execution time (in processor clock cycles) of a delay_relative_statement whose requested values is less than or equal to zero.

•An upper bound of the execution time of a delay_until_statement whose requested value of the delay expression is less than or equal to the value of the Real_Time.Clock and Calendar.Clock.

•An upper bound on the lateness of a delay_relative_statement for a positive values of the delay (and delay_until_statement), in a situation where the task has sufficient priority to preempt the processor as soon as it becomes ready.





Synchronous Task Control

Describes a language-defined private semaphore (suspension object)

package Ada.Synchronous_Task_Control is
 type Suspension_Object is limited private;
 procedure Set_True(S : in out Suspension_Object);
 procedure Set_False(S : in out Suspension_Object);
 function Current_State(S : Suspension_Object) return Boolean;
 procedure Suspend_Until_True(S: in out Suspension_Object);
 private

... -- not specified by the language end Ada.Synchronous_Task_Control;

- An object of type Suspension_Object has two states: True and False
- Set_True and Set_False are atomic with respect to each other
- Suspend_Until_True blocks the calling task until the state is True, Program_Error is raised if another task is already waiting
- Current_State returns the current state of the object.

Asynchronous Task Control

This clause introduces a language-defined package to do asynchronous suspend/resume on tasks.

with Ada.Task_Identification; package Ada.Asynchronous_Task_Control is procedure Hold(T : Ada.Task_Identification.Task_Id); procedure Continue(T : Ada.Task_Identification.Task_Id); function Is_Held(T : Ada.Task_Identification.Task_Id) return Boolean; private

- ... -- not specified by the language end Ada.Asynchronous_Task_Control;



Asynchronous Task Control

- After the Hold operation, the task becomes "held". There is a conceptual "idle task" whose priority is below System.Any_Priority'First. The held task is set to a "held priority" below the "idle task".
- For a held task, it's base priority no longer constitutes an inheritance source. Instead, the "held priority" is the new inheritance source.
- A Continue operation resets the state to not-held, and the priority is now reevaluated.

So -- why use Ada tasking?

 Because Ada tasking is part of the language, and it's a defined standard

– Can be easily certified (since it's ONLY part of the language!!

 In safety-critical environments, all components of a system must be specified and tested. This is difficult in other languages



Lack of Experience



Lack of experience in Ada programming causes poor code performance.

ᠿ

DANGER

යි හි

Realtime - 106

Lack of experience in "C/C++" causes code

errors.







